Appendix C Framework Evaluation (Semi-Structured Interview)
FRAMEWORK VALIDATION INTERVIEW

I. Introduction

A. Purpose

Dear Participant,

Please find attached a copy of the developed framework “Conceptual Framework for Offshore Topside Facilities Projects” as a tool for sustainable design for offshore oil and gas platforms. As an expert in oil and gas industry, your participating will provide important and valuable information that will be used in PhD research. The interview will take about 30 minutes to present and discuss the developed framework, and you are then invited to give your opinion by answering the questionnaire, which will not take more than five minutes.

No reference will be made in the written dissertation could link to your personality. Your assistance and cooperation is highly appreciated.

B. Interviewer

Name: Ezeldine Alyafei
Programme: PhD (Research in Construction).
University: Heriot Watt, School of Energy, Geoscience, Infrastructure and Society.
Royal Academy of Engineering Center of Excellence in Sustainable Building Design.
Address: Edinburgh EH144AS
E-mail: efa1@hw.ac.uk
C. Interviewees

Kindly supply the following Information:

Personal Information

5. Occupation and discipline:
6. Years of experience in Oil and Gas sector:
7. Educational level:
8. Gender and Age:

Organization Description:

5. Type of Organization: A. Oil and Gas Operator (Client) or
                          B. Consultant Office
6. Organization Business: A: Local
                          B: International
7. Size of Organization globally: A. (500) employees and above.
                                   B. (1000) employees and above.
                                   C. (5000) employees and above.
                                   D. (10,000) employees and above.
                           B: above 10 years.
                           C: above 15 years.
                           D: above 20 years.
II. Framework Validation and Evaluation

1. Please indicate your overall assessment of the developed framework using the scale of 1 = poor; 2 = below average; 3 = moderate; 4 = above average; and 5 = excellent; your evaluation will based on the following criteria:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Overall Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Clear and easy to understand.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Systematic and well structured.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Comprehensiveness (Includes all sustainability and engineering aspects).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Framework applicability.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Framework efficiency.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Framework practicability.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Appropriate to the construction projects for offshore topside facilities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Helps to understand the concept of sustainability.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Helps to understand the concept of life cycle costing and its application.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Easy to use without complicated software.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Please mention and provide any possible area of strengths/advantages of the framework?

3. Please mention the weaknesses/limitations (if exist) of the proposed framework?

4. Please provide any additional comments/suggestions/recommendation that may improve of the developed framework?

Thank you for your participation, time and cooperation.

Date: